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## Probability and Entropy



Using Mackay's notation [3], uncertain knowledge of the value of a parameter $x$ whose possible value lies within the discrete 'alphabet' $A_{X}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of numeric values is represented probabilistically by a set of mutually-exclusive statements ' $x=a_{i}$ ', assigned probabilities $P\left(x=a_{i}\right)$ which sum to one. The information entropy $H(X)$ of this probability distribution is the expectation of the information content of whichever statement turns out to be true:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X) & =E\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{P(x)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{x \in A_{X}} P(x) \log _{2} \frac{1}{P(x)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use $P(x)$ for $P\left(x=a_{i}\right) . H(X)$, in bits, is a measure of the average surprise value of the distribution, and its uncertainty.

## Joint Entropy

Uncertain knowledge of two parameters $x$ and $y$, where the extra parameter $y$ is known to have one of a second alphabet of values $B_{Y}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, is represented by a set of statements ' $x=a_{i}, y=b_{i}$ ' covering all possible combinations to which the observer assigns probabilities $P\left(x=a_{i}, y=b_{i}\right)$ which sum to one. This is a joint probability distribution over $X$ and $Y$, which has a joint entropy representing total uncertainty defined as expected:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X Y) & =E\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{P(x y)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{x \in A_{X}, y \in A_{Y}} P(x y) \log _{2} \frac{1}{P(x y)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have abbreviated $P\left(x=a_{i}, y=b_{i}\right)$ to $P(x y)$.

## Conditional Entropy

Now if the observer were to learn the exact value of one of the uncertain parameters, for instance that $y=b_{i}$, he would be left with a residual entropy in the distribution over $x$ called the conditional entropy of $X$ given $y=b_{i}$ :

$$
H\left(X \mid y=b_{i}\right)=\sum_{x \in A_{x}} P\left(x \mid y=b_{i}\right) \log _{2} \frac{1}{P\left(x \mid y=b_{i}\right)} .
$$

If the observer is not told the value of $y$ but considers the expected effect on the entropy of $X$ of each possibility, he can calculate the expected conditional entropy of $X$ given $Y$; the expected new entropy of $X$ on learning the value of $y$, without knowing in advance what that value will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(X \mid Y) & =E\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{P(x \mid y)}\right] \\
& =\sum_{x \in A_{X}, y \in A_{Y}} P(x y) \log _{2} \frac{1}{P(x \mid y)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mutual Information

We are led directly to the mutual information $I(X ; Y)$, defined as the average expected reduction in entropy of one parameter on learning exact value of the other. The reduction in entropy equates to how much information learning the value one parameter is expected to give the observer about the other, and $I(X ; Y)$ is defined as follows:

$$
I(X ; Y)=H(X)-H(X \mid Y)
$$

Note that it is easy to show that $I(X ; Y)=I(Y ; X)$.

## Entropy of Continuous Distributions





The entropy of a probability density function $p(x)$ over an uncertain parameter $x$ which may take a continuum of different values over a range $X$ is not well-defined. This can be seen by splitting the range $X$ into discrete intervals of width $\delta x$ to form a histogram where the probability that $x$ has a value within each particular bin is approximately $p(x) \delta x$.
The entropy of this distribution is:

$$
H(X)=\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \delta x \log _{2} \frac{1}{p(x) \delta x} .
$$

On attempting to find the entropy of the continuous distribution by taking the limit $\delta x \rightarrow 0$, we find that $H(X)$ diverges since $\log _{2} \frac{1}{p(x) \delta x}$ increases by one bit with every halving of the width of $\delta x$.

## Mutual Information for Continuous Distributions

Still well-defined, however, is the mutual information of two continuous distributions. With discrete bin sizes $\delta x, \delta y$ the MI is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(X ; Y)= & H(X)-H(X \mid Y) \\
= & \sum_{x \in X} p(x) \delta x \log _{2} \frac{1}{p(x) \delta x} \\
& -\sum_{x \in X, y \in Y} p(x, y) \delta x \delta y \log _{2} \frac{1}{p(x \mid y) \delta x} \\
= & \sum_{x \in X, y \in Y} p(x, y) \delta x \delta y \log _{2} \frac{p(x \mid y)}{p(x)},
\end{aligned}
$$

the $\delta x$ terms in the logarithm cancelling. Taking the limit $\delta x \rightarrow 0, \delta y \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the MI of two continuous PDFs:

$$
I(X ; Y)=\int_{x, y} p(x, y) \log _{2} \frac{p(x \mid y)}{p(x)} d x d y
$$

## MI in a Multi-Variate Gaussian

Consider vector a of $N$ uncertain parameters for which we hold a continuous probability density described by a single multi-variate Gaussian. Such a probability distribution is parameterised by a 'state vector' of means â of dimension $N$ and an $N \times N$ covariance matrix $\mathrm{P}_{\text {aa }}$. Explicitly, the PDF is:

$$
p(\mathbf{a})=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{a \mathrm{a}}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}-\hat{\mathbf{a}})^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{a \mathrm{a}}^{-1}(\mathbf{a}-\hat{\mathbf{a}})}
$$

Now let us suppose that a is divided into two interesting sets of parameters, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, of lengths $N_{\alpha}$ and $N_{\beta}$. We can partition the state vector and covariance matrix as follows:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{a}}=\binom{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}} ; \mathrm{P}_{a a}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha} & \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \beta} \\
\mathrm{P}_{\beta \alpha} & \mathrm{P}_{\beta \beta}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The mutual information of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is as follows:

$$
I(\alpha ; \beta)=E\left[\log _{2} \frac{p(\alpha \mid \beta)}{p(\alpha)}\right]
$$

## MI in a Multi-Variate Gaussian

Now distribution $p(\alpha)$ is described trivially by the relevant partitions of the joint state vector and covariance matrix:

$$
p(\alpha)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{N_{\alpha}}{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\hat{\alpha})^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{-1}(\alpha-\hat{\alpha})}
$$

To obtain $p(\alpha \mid \beta)$, we use the general formula for conditioning one partition of a state vector and covariance with respect to another, as presented very clearly recently by Eustice et al.[2]. If we learn the exact values of all elements of $\beta$, the state vector and covariance of $\alpha$ can be updated to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha}^{\prime} & =\hat{\alpha}+\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{P}_{\beta \beta}^{-1}(\beta-\hat{\beta}) \\
\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime} & =\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}-\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{P}_{\beta \beta}^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{\beta \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that this is essentially the update step of the Kalman Filter, where usually $\alpha$ would represent the state of the system in question and $\beta$ a set of measurements. So:

$$
p(\alpha \mid \beta)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{N_{\alpha}}{2}}\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime-1}\left(\alpha-\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

## MI in a Multi-Variate Gaussian

and, using parts of an argument given by Manyika [4]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\alpha ; \beta)= & E\left[\log _{2} \frac{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime-1}\left(\alpha-\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)}}{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\hat{\alpha})^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{-1}(\alpha-\hat{\alpha})}}\right] \\
= & \log _{2} \frac{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& +\frac{1}{\ln 2} E\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime-1}\left(\alpha-\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{\ln 2} E\left[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\hat{\alpha})^{\top} \mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{-1}(\alpha-\hat{\alpha})\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \log _{2} \frac{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}\right|}{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime}\right|}+\frac{1}{\ln 2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \log _{2} \frac{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}\right|}{\left|\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \alpha}-\mathrm{P}_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{P}_{\beta \beta}^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{\beta \alpha}\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Feature Search in Model-Based Tracking

 As in [1]:- Object state x and measurement candidates $\mathbf{z}_{i}=\mathbf{h}_{i}(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{n}_{m}$


$$
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\mathbf{x}} \\
\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1} \\
\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{2} \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right), \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{x}_{m}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{P}_{x} & \mathrm{P}_{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}{ }^{\top} & \mathrm{P}_{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}{ }^{\top} & \ldots \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{P}_{x} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{P}_{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}{ }^{\top}+\mathrm{R}_{1} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{P}_{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{P}_{x} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{P}_{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{P}_{x} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \mathrm{R}_{2} & \cdots \\
& \vdots & \vdots &
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Measurement Information Matrix

$$
I\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
* & I\left(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{z}_{1}\right) & I\left(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{z}_{2}\right) & \ldots \\
I\left(\mathbf{z}_{1} ; \mathbf{x}\right) & * & I\left(\mathbf{z}_{1} ; \mathbf{z}_{2}\right) & \ldots \\
I\left(\mathbf{z}_{2} ; \mathbf{x}\right) & I\left(\mathbf{z}_{2} ; \mathbf{z}_{1}\right) & * & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{array}\right]
$$

- MI between each measurement and state
- MI between each pair of measurements


## Tracking a Translating, Rotating Object in 2D



- 2D point feature measurements
- 1D edge feature measurement
- One pixel measurement uncertainty

Information-Guided Search with Point Features
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## Information-Guided Search with Edge Features
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