SIFT and SIFT-inspired Feature Detectors

CRV Tutorial Presentation Geoffrey Treen Carleton University

Detecting Local Interest Points

- Generally, consists of two processes:
 - Feature point detection
 - Feature point description
- Detection:
 - Goal is to find interesting points in an image that are robust to image transformations (rotation, scaling), viewpoint changes and image noise.
- Description:
 - Goal is to construct a unique signature/identifier for the feature point such that it can be reliably identified from thousands (or millions) of other feature points (feature matching).
- It's OK to mix and match detectors/descriptors (i.e. Harris corner detector with SIFT descriptor)

SIFT

- Stands for "Scale-Invariant Feature Transform"
- Invented in 1999 by David Lowe (UBC).
- Very popular "high-end" detection/description algorithm
 - Robust detection, distinct description but computationally expensive
- Invariant to image rotation, scaling, linear illumination
- Partially invariant to 3D viewpoint change
- Takes about 1s to compute 1000 SIFT features in a typical image (standard dual-core processor)
- SIFT features are described with 128-byte vectors

SIFT (cont'd)

4

- SIFT features used for:
 - Content-based image retrieval
 - Video event classification
 - Object recognition / tracking
 - Image classification
 - Markerless motion capture
 - Building panoramas
 - Mobile surveillance
 - Face authentication
 - etc.

SIFT (cont'd)

- Four-stage, cascading algorithm:
 - 1. Scale-space extrema detection
 - 2. Keypoint localization and filtering
 - 3. Orientation assignment
 - 4. Descriptor construction

detection

description

SIFT Stage 1: Scale-space extrema detection

- Scale space:
 - Created by repeatedly convolving an input image with a Gaussian kernel of increasing δ.
 - After every octave, or doubling of δ, the image is downsampled by a factor of two and the blurring iterations are re-started.

6

Adjacent-scale images are subtracted (Difference-of-Gaussians function)



SIFT Stage 1: Scale-space extrema detection (cont'd)

- We then search the DoG images for local minima and maxima to establish our initial feature point locations.
- A DoG pixel needs to be either greater than or less than all pixels in its immediate neighbourhood, as well as all pixels in corresponding neighbourhoods in adjacent DoG images.



7

SIFT Stage 2: Keypoint Localization and Filtering

- After the first stage, we are left with a set of discrete integer 3D points (x, y, δ) representing physical pixel location and the scale at which they were found.
- These DoG peaks are then fitted to a 3D quadratic function to determine the interpolated sub-pixel (floating point) location and scale of the extreme point
 - Provides a substantial improvement in stability and matching
 - Also used to filter out smaller peaks (low contrast)
- Keypoints along edges are rejected because they have poorly-defined DoG peaks (hard to localize). To do this, we use a ratio of principal curvatures (as in Harris corners).

SIFT Stage 3: Orientation assignment

- We now have feature points in sub-pixel location and scale space
- To achieve rotation invariance, we assign an orientation to each keypoint and we describe the keypoint with respect to this orientation
- We choose the orientation from the most dominant gradient in the local image patch
 - Local gradients contributions are summed into 36 orientation bins (representing 10° increments)
 - Largest bin is chosen as the keypoint's orientation
 - If other bins come within 80% of this peak value, separate keypoints are created with these other orientations

SIFT Stage 4: Descriptor construction

- SIFT keypoint descriptor:
 - 128-byte vector derived from local gradient patch



SIFT Stage 4: Descriptor construction

 Gradient patch is rotated with respect to the keypoint orientation, then divided into 4 x 4 sub-regions, consisting of 16 pixels each

11



SIFT Stage 4: Descriptor construction (cont'd)

- Each sub-region is characterized by the gradient contributions to an 8-bin orientation histogram
- Concatenation of the 16 orientation histograms creates SIFT's 128element descriptor vector (16 sub-regions x 8 orientation bins)



SIFT Matching

- Nearest-neighbour search between two feature sets, using Euclidean distance
- Distance ratio test is used to declare a match:
 - If closest vector distance is less than 0.6 * next-closest vector distance, declare the point matched (otherwise declare no match)



SIFT-inspired local detectors/descriptors

- PCA-SIFT:
 - Uses the same SIFT-DoG detector
 - Attempts to create a more distinct descriptor by sampling from a larger gradient patch and reducing the descriptor to 36 elements using principal component analysis
 - Achieves improvement in matching speed (36 vs. 128 descriptor elements) without compromising recall/precision performance

GLOH (Gradient Location and Orientation Histogram):

- Uses SIFT-DoG detector
- Adds granularity to gradient orientation histogram bins
- Uses PCA for data compression
- Achieves improved robustness over SIFT and PCA-SIFT for common image transformation frameworks

SIFT-inspired local detectors/descriptors (cont'd)

"Fast Approximated SIFT":

- Uses integral images
- Substitutes SIFT-DoG detector for a DoM (difference-of-means) to achieve significant speed increase (scale space is approximated by mean, rather than Gaussian, blurring)
- SIFT localization and post-processing techniques omitted
- Eightfold speedup in computation is offset by poorer robustness

• Mahalanobis-SIFT:

- SIFT vectors are post-processed to compensate for the relative standard deviations of the individual vector elements (effectively transforming them into Mahalanobis space)
- Matching performance shows improvement in binary tree structures 15

SIFT-inspired local detectors/descriptors (cont'd)

SURF (Speeded Up Robust Features):

- Uses integral images and box filters (rather than a circular window) to approximate Gaussian second-order partial derivatives for scale space
- 64 descriptor elements instead of 128, with a binary 65th element that effectively represents a cornerness measure and can be used to split the search space in half
- Finds about 2/3 the number of SIFT features in the same image
- About 5X faster to compute than SIFT, and about 4X faster matching speed
- Better than SIFT for some image transformations (i.e. noisy images) but worse for others (i.e. rotation, scaling)

Important Papers

Main SIFT journal article:

D. G. Lowe. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. Int. Journal of Computer Vision, 60(2): pp. 91--110, 2004.

PCA-SIFT:

A. Y. Ke and R. Sukthankar. PCA-SIFT: A more distinctive representation for local image descriptors. In Proc. CVPR, pages 506--513, June 2004.

GLOH:

Mikolajczyk, K. & Schmid, C., A performance evaluation of local descriptors. In Proc. CVPR Int. Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition', pp. 257—263, 2003.

• Fast Approximated SIFT:

M. Grabner, H. Grabner, H. Bischof. Fast Approximated SIFT. Asian Conference on Computer Vision, Hyderabad, India, pp. 918-927, 2006.

• Mahalanobis SIFT:

Mikolajczyk and J. G. Matas. Improving descriptors for fast tree matching by optimal linear projection. International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 1-8, 2007.

• SURF:

H. Bay, T. Tuytelaars, and L. J. V. Gool. SURF: Speeded up robust features. In Proc. Europearn Conf. Computer Vision, pages 404-417, 2006.